

Epidemiology of patients presenting with dyspnea to emergency departments in Europe and the Asia-Pacific region

Laribi, Said; Keijzers, Gerben; Van Meer, Oene; Klim, Sharon; Motiejunaite, Justina; Kuan, Win Sen; Body, Richard; Jones, Peter; Craig, Simon; Karamercan, Mehmet; Harjola, Veli Pekka; Holdgate, Anna; Golea, Adela; Graham, Colin; Verschuren, Franck; Capsec, Jean; Christ, Michael; Grammatico-Guillon, Leslie; Barletta, Cinzia; Garcia-Castrillo, Luis; Kelly, Anne Maree; AANZDEM and EuroDEM Study Groups

Published in:
European Journal of Emergency Medicine

DOI:
[10.1097/MEJ.0000000000000571](https://doi.org/10.1097/MEJ.0000000000000571)

Licence:
Other

[Link to output in Bond University research repository.](#)

Recommended citation(APA):

Laribi, S., Keijzers, G., Van Meer, O., Klim, S., Motiejunaite, J., Kuan, W. S., Body, R., Jones, P., Craig, S., Karamercan, M., Harjola, V. P., Holdgate, A., Golea, A., Graham, C., Verschuren, F., Capsec, J., Christ, M., Grammatico-Guillon, L., Barletta, C., ... AANZDEM and EuroDEM Study Groups (2019). Epidemiology of patients presenting with dyspnea to emergency departments in Europe and the Asia-Pacific region. *European Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 26(5), 345-349. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MEJ.0000000000000571>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.

Epidemiology of Patients Presenting with Dyspnea to Emergency Departments in Europe and Asia-Pacific region

RUNNING HEAD “Dyspnea at the ED”

Said LARIBI^{a,b}, Gerben KEIJZERS^{c,d,e}, Oene VAN MEER^f, Sharon KLIM^g, Justina MOTIEJUNAITE^{h,i,j}, Win Sen KUAN^k, Richard BODY^{l,m}, Peter JONESⁿ, Mehmet KARAMERCAN^{o,p}, Simon CRAIG^{q,r}, Veli-Pekka HARJOLA^s, Anna HOLDGATE^t, Adela GOLEA^u, Colin GRAHAM^v, Franck VERSCHUREN^w, Jean CAPSEC^b, Michael CHRIST^{x,y}, Leslie GRAMMATICO-GUILLON^{a,b}, Cinzia BARLETTA^z, Luis GARCIA-CASTRILLO^{aa}, and Anne-Maree KELLY^{bb,cc} on behalf of the AANZDEM and EURODEM study groups

- a. Tours University, France.
- b. Tours University Hospital, Emergency Medicine Department, 37044, Tours, France.
- c. Department of Emergency Medicine, Gold Coast University Hospital, Gold Coast, Australia.
- d. Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine, Bond University, Gold Coast, Australia,
- e. School of Medicine, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Australia.
- f. Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands.
- g. Joseph Epstein Centre for Emergency Medicine Research at Western Health, Sunshine, Australia.
- h. INSERM, U942, BIOmarkers in CARDioNeuroVAscular diseases, 75010, Paris, France.
- i. APHP, Saint Louis Lariboisière Hospitals, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, 75010, Paris, France.
- j. Lithuanian University of Health Sciences Kaunas Clinics, Department of Cardiology, Kaunas, Lithuania.

- k. Emergency Medicine Department, National University Hospital, National University Health System, Singapore.
- l. Emergency Department, Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford Road, Manchester.
- m. Cardiovascular Sciences Research Group, the University of Manchester, Manchester, England.
- n. Department of Adult Emergency Medicine, Auckland City Hospital, Auckland 1172, New Zealand.
- o. Gazi University, Faculty of Medicine, Emergency Medicine Department, Ankara, Turkey.
- p. Istanbul Bagcilar Training and Research Hospital, Department of Emergency Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey.
- q. Emergency Department, Monash Medical Centre, Clayton, Victoria, Australia
- r. School of Clinical Sciences at Monash Health, Monash University, Clayton, Australia.
- s. Emergency Medicine, University of Helsinki, Department of Emergency Medicine and Services, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland.
- t. Department of Emergency Medicine, Liverpool Hospital, Sydney, Australia and University of New South Wales (Southwest Clinical School), Sydney, Australia.
- u. University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Emergency Department of the University County Emergency Hospital Cluj Napoca, Romania.
- v. Chinese University of Hong Kong, Prince of Wales Hospital, Shatin, Hong Kong SAR.
- w. Université Catholique de Louvain, Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, Department of Acute Medicine, Brussels, Belgium.
- x. Department of Emergency Care, Luzerner Kantonsspital, Luzern, Switzerland.
- y. Paracelsus Medical University, Nuremberg, Germany.
- z. St. Eugenio Hospital, Department of Emergency Medicine, Rome, Italy.

- aa. Servicio Urgencias Hospital Marqués de Valdecilla, Santander, Spain.
- bb. Joseph Epstein Centre for Emergency Medicine Research, Western Health, St Albans
3021, Victoria, Australia.
- cc. Department of Medicine, Melbourne Medical School – Western Precinct, The University
of Melbourne, St. Albans, Vic, Australia, 3021.

Corresponding author:

Said Laribi, MD, PhD
Urgences – SAMU 37 - SMUR
CHRU de Tours, 37044, Tours, Cedex 9, France,
Tel.: +33 2 47 47 81 09
Fax: +33 2 47 47 36 78
E-mail: s.laribi@chu-tours.fr

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:

None declared.

SOURCES OF FUNDING:

EURODEM study was performed under the supervision of the EUSEM Research Committee.

Data management in Europe was facilitated by the Jeroen Bosch Hospital.

The AANZDEM study was part funded by the Queensland Emergency Medicine Research Foundation (now Emergency Medicine Foundation). Electrical case report form provided by Clinical Informatics and Data Management Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences, Monash University, Australia.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT:

The work of J.M. was supported by the Research Council of Lithuania (grant no. MIP-049/2015).

ABSTRACT

Objective:

The primary objective of this study was to describe the epidemiology and management of dyspneic patients presenting to emergency departments (EDs) in an international patient population. Our secondary objective was to compare the EURODEM and AANZDEM patient populations.

Methods: An observational prospective cohort study was performed in Europe and Asia-Pacific region. The study included consecutive patients presenting to EDs with dyspnea as the main complaint. Data was collected on demographics, co-morbidities, chronic treatment, clinical signs and investigations, treatment in the ED, diagnosis, and disposition from ED.

Results: A total of 5569 patients were included in the study. The most common ED diagnoses were lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI) (24.9%), heart failure (HF) (17.3%), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) exacerbation (15.8%) and asthma (10.5%) in the overall population. There were more LRTI, HF and COPD exacerbations in the EURODEM population whereas Asthma was more frequent in the AANZDEM population. Intensive care unit (ICU) admission rates were 5.5%. ED mortality was 0.6%. Overall in-hospital mortality was 5.0%. In hospital mortality rates were 8.7% for LRTI, 7.6% for HF and 5.6% for COPD patients.

Conclusions: Dyspnea as a symptom in the ED has substantial ward and ICU admission rates. A variety of causes of dyspnea was observed in this study, with chronic diseases accounting for a major proportion.

KEYWORDS:

Dyspnea, emergency department, management, epidemiology, outcome

INTRODUCTION

Dyspnea is one of the main complaints of patients presenting to the emergency department (ED)¹. In the United States, dyspnea accounts for 3 to 4 million ED visits annually^{2,3} representing up to 50% of patients admitted to acute tertiary care hospitals^{4,5}. In the Asia-Pacific region it accounts for 5% of all ED presentations⁶. Diagnosis and treatment of the underlying cause of dyspnea is the most efficient approach to improve symptoms. However, establishing accurate diagnoses for ED dyspneic patients remains challenging. Sensitivity of the clinical signs associated with this symptom is low, especially in an aging population and the variety of underlying diseases⁷⁻¹⁰.

Little is known about the etiology, epidemiology and outcome of dyspneic patients presenting to the EDs worldwide. Understanding patient characteristics, the range of diagnoses, outcome and regional differences could help improve emergency care of affected patients.

Therefore, the primary objective of this study was to describe the epidemiology and management of dyspnea patients presenting to emergency departments (EDs) in an international patient population. Our secondary objective was to compare the EURODEM and AANZDEM patient populations.

METHODS

Study design

This is a combination of two international, multicenter, prospective, observational, cohort studies. They were designed to evaluate the epidemiology and outcomes of patients presenting to the ED with dyspnea as the main complaint. The EURODEM study (*NCT02060799*) was conducted in 66 European EDs in Belgium (n=3), Finland (n=5), France (n=5), Germany (n=5), Italy (n=1), the Netherlands (n=16), Romania (n=7), Spain (n=1), Turkey (n=7) and United Kingdom (n=16). The AANZDEM study was conducted in 46 Asia-Pacific centers in Australia (n=33), New Zealand (n=4), Singapore (n=3), Hong Kong (n=4) and Malaysia (n=2). The study sample was generated with consecutive patients attending EDs during three study periods of 72 hours throughout one year.^{6,11} European centers recruited patients during three consecutive days in February, May and October 2014, whereas inclusion dates for Australasian centers were in May, August and November. These dates were chosen to represent different seasons (autumn, winter and spring) in each region.

Patient population

Consecutive adult patients (18 years and older) presenting to the ED with acute dyspnea as the main symptom were included. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Ethics committee approvals were obtained for all sites according to local requirements. If requested by the local ethics committee, patient consent for data collection was obtained.

Study variables

A specifically designed data collection form was developed by each steering committee (see Annex). Data collected included patient characteristics, co-morbidities, mode of arrival, usual

medications, prehospital treatment as documented in ED clinical records, initial assessment (clinical assessment and vital signs), investigations performed (laboratory tests, electrocardiogram (ECG), imaging, etc.) and results, treatment in the ED, ED diagnosis (diagnosis after ED management), outcome after the ED including disposition, in-hospital outcome and final hospital diagnosis.

Outcomes of interest

The primary outcome of interest was the proportion of patients in each etiologic category of dyspnea, their management at the ED and in-hospital mortality. Our secondary objective was to compare the characteristics of European patients' (EURODEM study) to those of the Asia-Pacific region (AANZDEM study).

Statistical analysis

Results are presented as frequencies for qualitative variables and as mean (stdev) or medians with interquartile range for quantitative variables depending on distribution. The chi-square test was used to compare categories. The means of continuous variables were compared using the t-test (parametric) and the Wilcoxon test (nonparametric). Statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$. Statistical analysis was performed using SAS version 9.1 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

A total of 5569 patients were included in the study. Patient characteristics are summarized in **table 1**. Median age of patients was 68 [51-80] years and nearly half of the cohort were male. In approximately half of all cases, emergency physicians considered the cause of dyspnea to be of pulmonary origin and in a quarter of cases the cause of dyspnea was considered to be of cardiac origin. The most common ED diagnoses were lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI) (24.9%), heart failure (17.3%), COPD exacerbation (15.8%) and asthma (10.5%). A substantial proportion of patients had other diagnoses than the ones listed.

The most frequent comorbidities were hypertension (46.9%), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (27.3%) and diabetes mellitus (23.0%). About half of patients presented to the ED by ambulance (49.3%).

Vital and clinical signs at admission are summarized in **table 2**. Of all patients, 4.3% presented with confusion, 28.1% had signs of peripheral edema and 77.9% had abnormalities at lung auscultation (rales, wheezing or ronchi). A proportion of patients presented with vital signs indicating a severe clinical condition: 10% had tachycardia (heart rate > 120 bpm/min), 13.6% had oxygen saturation below 90%, and 4.7% were hypotensive (systolic blood pressure < 100 mmHg).

Management and outcomes

Initial ED investigations are summarized in supplementary table 1. Supplementary Table 2 describes treatment modalities at the EDs. The most commonly used medical therapies were inhaled β_2 agonists (35.6%), antibiotics (29.5%) and corticosteroids (23.7%).

Nearly two-thirds of the total study population were hospitalized after initial care at the ED. 5.5% of patients were admitted to the ICU and 0.6% died in the ED. Overall in-hospital

mortality rate was 5.0%. In hospital mortality rates were 8.7% for LRTI, 7.6% for HF and 5.6% for COPD patients.

Regional differences

The EURODEM patient population was slightly older than the AANZDEM cohort, median age 69 [53-80] years vs. 67 [49-80] years, ($p=0.01$).

There were more LRTI, HF and COPD exacerbations in the EURODEM population whereas Asthma was more frequent in the AANZDEM population. EURODEM patients more often had a prior history of chronic heart failure, COPD, smoking and cognitive dysfunction.

A higher ward admission rate was observed in Asia-Pacific than in Europe (60.6% vs. 56.4%, $p<0.01$). However, the proportions of ED deaths (0.9% vs. 0.4%, $p=0.03$) and intensive care unit (ICU) admissions (8.4% vs. 3.4%, $p<0.001$) were higher in the EURODEM cohort. In hospital mortality was also significantly higher in EURODEM compared to the AANZDEM cohort (6.5% vs. 4.1%, $p<0.001$).

DISCUSSION

This study describes the epidemiology and contemporaneous management of dyspnea in EDs in Europe and Asia-Pacific region. Our results confirm that patients presenting to the ED with dyspnea represent a diverse and complex group. The most common causes of dyspnea in the ED were LRTI, decompensated (or acute) heart failure, COPD exacerbations and asthma. The high proportion of ‘other’ diagnoses (including unknown) is somewhat surprising and a salient reminder that the causes of dyspnea are legion and that careful clinical assessment is required to differentiate between them. Importantly, our study demonstrates that dyspneic patients require immediate and high-quality care as the majority is admitted to hospital with not infrequent admissions to intensive care units^{13,14}. This study emphasizes the challenges that ED physicians face while striving for accurate and prompt diagnosis and treatment.

The most common diagnoses of dyspnea at the ED were LRTI, heart failure, COPD exacerbation and asthma. Our results seem to be in accordance with previous studies: Bilben and colleagues observed that COPD, cardiac failure, and pneumonia accounted for symptoms in 29, 24 and 22% of dyspneic ED patients respectively¹². Even though lung and heart diseases were the main causes of dyspnea in the ED, it seems that a pulmonary origin (infection, COPD and asthma combined) was twice as common than a cardiac origin of dyspnea. This finding might help guide emergency physicians in cases where it is difficult to differentiate between both causes.

The results of our study illustrate a diverse clinical profile of dyspneic patients at the ED in Europe compared to Asia-Pacific region. Dyspneic ED patients were mostly elderly, with 25% of patients being older than 80 years. There is no gender predilection for this symptom. As expected in an elderly population, patients presented with many comorbidities. These concomitant illnesses can make it difficult to ascertain the specific diagnosis based on clinical

presentation in patients with dyspnea, and patients may have mixed disease contributing to the dyspnea.

In both cohorts a significant proportion of patients presented with clinical signs suggesting a critical condition: tachycardia (10%), tachypnea (11%), low oxygen saturation (14%) and hypotension (5%). Therefore, high hospital admission rates as well as ICU admission and in-hospital death were in line with disease severity.

Our study confirms that dyspnea is a high risk condition for in-hospital mortality. We report in-hospital mortality of 5.0% which is similar to previously reported mortality rates in acute heart failure¹⁵, community acquired pneumonia¹⁶ and COPD exacerbations¹⁷.

Our study shows that there were more ED deaths and ICU admissions in the EURODEM cohort. In-hospital mortality was also higher in Europe. This could be due to the increased frailty of EURODEM patients, suggested by their older age, higher rates of cognitive dysfunction and pre-existing chronic conditions. Differences in primary care provision might also account for these disparities.

Our study has an important clinical impact for emergency physicians. It shows that acute management of dyspneic patients can be improved. It also highlights the need for an appropriate discharge analysis, as dyspnea at the ED was associated with a risk of ICU admission as well as in-hospital death. Our study also demonstrated that in the majority of cases, acute dyspnea was caused by an exacerbation of a pre-existing chronic condition. This emphasizes the importance of chronic disease management in primary care and outpatient settings, as preventing exacerbations of chronic heart failure or COPD could possibly reduce the burden of frequent ED visits and recurrent hospitalizations.

Future research should be directed at understanding the source of the heterogeneities in patient characteristics as well as management differences between regions. Subsequent analyses are planned on subpopulations of the EURODEM and AANZDEM studies by

etiology of dyspnea. Future projects will include a more precise description of the four most frequent diseases causing dyspnea. We are also aiming to identify differences between the current real-life management of these diseases and treatment guidelines.

LIMITATIONS

Our study has several limitations. Since this was a multi-center registry, it should be taken into account that some centers recorded data differently than others. There was also no central committee for the establishment of final diagnosis or the appropriateness of administered treatment. One of the limitations of this work is that in the EURODEM study, it was allowed to include more than one diagnosis per patient whereas in the AANZDEM study only the principal diagnosis was collected. However, study sample is large and presents all comers suggesting generalization of findings. Moreover, local data collectors had the possibility to contact the coordinating center if they had any queries regarding data collection therefore minimizing bias. There is also a certain amount of missing data that may have influenced results.

CONCLUSION

In summary, Dyspneic patients represent a heterogeneous group with a wide variety of clinical profiles and etiologies of dyspnea in both hemispheres. The population affected by this symptom is mostly elderly and have high rates of comorbidities. Exacerbations of chronic diseases such as heart failure and COPD account for a large proportion of dyspneic patients. Dyspnea at the ED was associated with a high rate of ward admission as well as sub-optimal short-term outcome. Our study also showed that the EURODEM patients were more ill than AANZDEM patients, presenting with more comorbidities and higher rates of ICU admission and hospital death.

REFERENCES:

1. Prekker ME, Feemster LC, Hough CL, Carlbom D, Crothers K, Au DH, et al. The epidemiology and outcome of prehospital respiratory distress. *Academic emergency medicine : official journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine* 2014; 21 (5):543-550.
2. Nawar EW, Niska RW, Xu J. National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: 2005 emergency department summary. *Advance data* 2007; (386):1-32.
3. Niska R, Bhuiya F, Xu J. National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: 2007 emergency department summary. *National health statistics reports* 2010; (26):1-31.
4. Parshall MB, Schwartzstein RM, Adams L, Banzett RB, Manning HL, Bourbeau J, et al. An official American Thoracic Society statement: update on the mechanisms, assessment, and management of dyspnea. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine* 2012; 185 (4):435-452.
5. Desbiens NA, Mueller-Rizner N, Connors AF, Wenger NS. The relationship of nausea and dyspnea to pain in seriously ill patients. *Pain* 1997; 71 (2):149-156.
6. Kelly AM, Keijzers G, Klim S, Graham CA, Craig S, Kuan WS, et al. An Observational Study of Dyspnoea in Emergency Departments: The Asia, Australia, and New Zealand Dyspnoea in Emergency Departments Study (AANZDEM). *Academic emergency medicine : official journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine* 2016.
7. Weintraub NL, Collins SP, Pang PS, Levy PD, Anderson AS, Arslanian-Engoren C, et al. Acute heart failure syndromes: emergency department presentation, treatment, and disposition: current approaches and future aims: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2010; 122 (19):1975-1996.

8. Ezekowitz JA, Bakal JA, Kaul P, Westerhout CM, Armstrong PW. Acute heart failure in the emergency department: short and long-term outcomes of elderly patients with heart failure. *European journal of heart failure* 2008; 10 (3):308-314.
9. Wang CS, FitzGerald JM, Schulzer M, Mak E, Ayas NT. Does this dyspneic patient in the emergency department have congestive heart failure? *Jama* 2005; 294 (15):1944-1956.
10. Martindale JL, Wakai A, Collins SP, Levy PD, Diercks D, Hiestand BC, et al. Diagnosing Acute Heart Failure in the Emergency Department: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Academic emergency medicine : official journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine* 2016; 23 (3):223-242.
11. Kelly AM, Keijzers G, Klim S, Graham CA, Craig S, Kuan WS, et al. Asia, Australia and New Zealand Dyspnoea in Emergency Departments (AANZDEM) study: Rationale, design and analysis. *Emergency medicine Australasia : EMA* 2015; 27 (3):187-191.
12. Bilben B, Grandal L, Sovik S. National Early Warning Score (NEWS) as an emergency department predictor of disease severity and 90-day survival in the acutely dyspneic patient - a prospective observational study. *Scandinavian journal of trauma, resuscitation and emergency medicine* 2016; 24:80.
13. Pandor A, Thokala P, Goodacre S, Poku E, Stevens JW, Ren S, et al. Pre-hospital non-invasive ventilation for acute respiratory failure: a systematic review and cost-effectiveness evaluation. *Health technology assessment* 2015; 19 (42):v-vi, 1-102.
14. Aguilar SA, Lee J, Dunford JV, Castillo E, Lam B, Choy J, et al. Assessment of the addition of prehospital continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) to an urban emergency medical services (EMS) system in persons with severe respiratory distress. *The Journal of emergency medicine* 2013; 45 (2):210-219.

15. Teixeira A, Parenica J, Park JJ, Ishihara S, AlHabib KF, Laribi S, et al. Clinical presentation and outcome by age categories in acute heart failure: results from an international observational cohort. *European journal of heart failure* 2015; 17 (11):1114-1123.
16. Costantini E, Allara E, Patrucco F, Faggiano F, Hamid F, Balbo PE. Adherence to guidelines for hospitalized community-acquired pneumonia over time and its impact on health outcomes and mortality. *Internal and emergency medicine* 2016; 11 (7):929-940.
17. Hartl S, Lopez-Campos JL, Pozo-Rodriguez F, Castro-Acosta A, Studnicka M, Kaiser B, et al. Risk of death and readmission of hospital-admitted COPD exacerbations: European COPD Audit. *The European respiratory journal* 2016; 47 (1):113-121.

Table 1: Patient characteristics

| | Total | AANZDEM | EURODEM | p value | OR [95% CI] |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| N (%) | 5569 | 3044 (54.7%) | 2525 (45.3%) | | |
| Age (years), (median [Q1-Q3]) | 68 [51-80] missing data n= 25 | 67 [49-80] | 69 [53-80] | 0.01 | |
| Male (N, %) | 2719 (49.0%) missing data n=21 | 1495 (49.2%) | 1224 (48.8%) | NS | 1.02 [0.91-1.13] |
| ED diagnoses (N, %) | | | | | |
| Lower respiratory tract infection | 1389 (24.9%) | 616 (20.2%) | 773 (30.6%) | p<0.001 | 0.58 [0.51-0.65] |
| Heart failure | 962 (17.3%) | 455 (14.9%) | 507 (20.1%) | p<0.001 | 0.63 [0.55-0.73] |
| COPD exacerbation | 882 (15.8%) | 415 (13.6%) | 467 (18.5%) | p<0.001 | 0.70 [0.60-0.81] |
| Asthma | 584 (10.5%) | 387 (12.7%) | 197 (7.8%) | p<0.001 | 1.52 [1.27-1.82] |
| Other | 2022 (36.3%) | 1171 (38.5%) | 851 (33.7%) | p<0.001 | 1.24 [1.10-1.38] |
| Co-morbidities (N, %) | | | | | |
| Chronic heart failure | 1102 (20.5%) missing data n=196 | 522 (17.2%) | 580 (24.7%) | p<0.001 | 0.63 [0.55-0.73] |
| Diabetes mellitus | 1246 (23.0%) missing data n=149 | 697 (23.0%) | 549 (22.9%) | NS | 1.01 [0.89-1.14] |
| Hypertension | 2541 (46.9%) missing data n=152 | 1405 (46.4%) | 1136 (47.6%) | NS | 0.95 [0.85-1.06] |
| Atrial fibrillation / flutter | 873 (16.1%) missing data n=157 | 468 (15.5%) | 405 (17.0%) | NS | 0.90 [0.77-1.04] |
| COPD | 1477 (27.3%) missing data n=164 | 721 (23.9%) | 756 (31.7%) | p<0.001 | 0.67 [0.60-0.76] |
| Smoker | 935 (17.9%) missing data n=336 | 389 (12.9%) | 546 (24.7%) | 0.001 | 0.45 [0.39-0.52] |
| Asthma | 1117 (20.6%) missing data n=143 | 685 (22.6%) | 432 (18.0%) | 0.03 | 1.33 [1.16-1.53] |

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Table 2: Clinical signs at admission

| | Total | AANZDEM | EURODEM | p value | OR [95% CI] |
|---|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Vital signs at admission | | | | | |
| SBP (mmHg), (median [Q1-Q3]) | 135 [120-154] missing data n=138 | 136 [120-154] | 135 [120-153] | 0.21 | |
| SBP<100mmHg (N, %) | 257 (4.7%) | 141 (4.7%) | 116 (4.7%) | 0.01 | 1.01 [0.78-1.31] |
| Heart rate (bpm), (median [Q1-Q3]) | 90 [77-106] missing data n=115 | 92 [78-106] | 89 [77-105] | p<0.001 | |
| heart rate >120 bpm (N, %) | 547 (10.0%) | 323 (10.8%) | 224 (9.1%) | 0.04 | 1.21 [1.01-1.45] |
| Respiratory rate (cycles/min), (median [Q1-Q3]) | 21 [18-26] missing data n=566 | 22 [18-26] | 20 [18-26] | p<0.001 | |
| Respiratory rate >30 cycles/min (N, %) | 550 (11.0%) | 334 (11.3%) | 216 (10.5%) | NS | 1.09 [0.90-1.31] |
| SpO ₂ <90% (N, %) | 685 (13.9%) missing data n=652 | 308 (12.3%) | 377 (15.7%) | NS | 0.75 [0.64-0.89] |
| Temperature <35 or >38° C (N, %) | 477 (9.2%) missing data n=392 | 282 (9.7%) | 195 (8.6%) | NS | 1.14 [0.94-1.39] |
| Clinical signs at admission (N, %) | | | | | |
| Confusion | 237 (4.3%) missing data n=96 | 75 (2.5%) | 162 (6.6%) | p<0.001 | 0.36 [0.27-0.48] |
| Rales | 1953 (37.4%) missing data n=346 | 912 (31.5%) | 1041 (44.7%) | p<0.001 | 0.57 [0.51-0.64] |
| Wheezing | 1220 (24.0%) missing data n=496 | 590 (20.4%) | 630 (28.9%) | p<0.001 | 0.63 [0.55-0.72] |
| Rhonchi | 826 (16.5%) missing data n=571 | 280 (9.7%) | 546 (25.9%) | p<0.001 | 0.31 [0.26-0.36] |

SBP: systolic blood pressure, SpO₂: saturation level of oxygen in hemoglobin determined by pulse oximetry.

Supplementary table 1: diagnostic investigations at the ED

| Laboratory parameters at admission | Total | AANZDEM | EuroDEM | Mean difference [95%CI] | p value |
|---|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Creatinine (µmol/L, median, Q1-Q3) | 82 (65-110) performed n=3645 (65.5%) | 83 (66-112) | 79 (63-104) | NA | p<0.001 |
| Sodium (mmol/L, mean, std) | 137.85 (4.56) performed n=4587 (82.4%) | 137.72 (4.34) | 137.99 (4.78) | 0.28 [0.01-0.54] | 0.04 |
| Potassium (mmol/L, mean, std) | 4.20 (0.62) performed n=4469 (80.2%) | 4.17 (0.61) | 4.24 (0.64) | 0.07 [0.03-0.11] | p<0.001 |
| CRP (mg/dL, median, Q1-Q3) | 18 (5-70) performed n=2418 (43.4%) | 30 (9-115) | 13 (4-47) | NA | p<0.001 |
| PaCO ₂ (mmHg, mean, std) | 41.36 (16.85) performed n=1306 (23.5%) | 46.62 (20.48) | 38.20 (13.29) | 8.42 [6.58-10.25] | p<0.001 |
| White cell count (median, Q1-Q3) | 9.2 (7-12) performed n=3781 (67.9%) | 9.1 (7-11.8) | 9.25 (7-12.2) | 0.64 [0.16-1.12] | 0.01 |
| pH (mean, std) | 7.39 (0.10) performed n=2302 (41.3%) | 7.37 (0.11) | 7.40 (0.09) | 0.03 [0.02-0.04] | p<0.001 |
| D-dimer elevated (N,%) | 301 (55.4%) performed n=543 | 56 (52.8%) | 245 (56.1%) | NA | |

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--------------|--------------|---------------------|---------|
| | (9.8%) | | | | |
| Haemoglobin (g/l, mean, std) | 12.72 (2.61) performed n=4434 (79.6%) | 12.58 (2.87) | 12.72 (2.61) | 0.31 [0.16-0.47] | p<0.001 |

CRP: C-reactive protein.

Supplementary table 2: Management at the ED and outcomes

| | Total | AANZDEM | EuroDEM | p value | OR [95% CI] |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Treatment in the ED (N, %) | | | | | |
| NIV | 289 (5.2%) missing data n=201 | 145 (4.8%) | 144 (5.7%) | NS | 0.80 [0.63-1.03] |
| IV diuretics | 1010 (18.6%) missing data n=134 | 468 (15.5%) | 542 (22.5%) | p<0.001 | 0.63 [0.55-0.72] |
| Oral diuretics | 117 (3.4%) missing data n=2146 | 84 (2.8%) | 33 (8.2%) | p<0.001 | 0.32 [0.21-0.50] |
| IV vasodilators | 200 (3.7%) missing data n=149 | 50 (1.7%) | 150 (6.3%) | p<0.001 | 0.25 [0.18-0.35] |
| Oral/sublingual vasodilators | 246 (7.8%) missing data n=2434 | 193 (6.4%) | 53 (47.7%) | p<0.001 | 0.07 [0.05-0.11] |
| IV inotropes/ vasopressors | 52 (1.0%) missing data n=169 | 24 (0.8%) | 28 (1.2%) | NS | 0.67 [0.37-1.20] |
| Antibiotics | 1607 (29.5%) missing data n=123 | 938 (31.0%) | 669 (27.6%) | 0.01 | 1.18 [1.04-1.33] |
| Inhaled Beta-2 agonists | 1941 (35.6%) missing data n=110 | 1019 (33.7%) | 922 (37.9%) | 0.02 | 0.83 [0.74-0.93] |
| Corticosteroids (IV or oral) | 1295 (23.7%) missing data n=116 | 762 (25.2%) | 533 (22.0%) | 0.01 | 1.19 [1.05-1.36] |
| Discharge from the ED (N, %) | | | | | |
| Home | 2003 (36.8%) missing data n=129 | 1081 (35.6%) | 922 (38.4%) | 0.03 | 0.88 [0.79-0.99] |

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|---------------------|
| Ward | 3118 (57.3%) missing data n=129 | 1843 (60.6%) | 1275 (53.1%) | p<0.001 | 1.36 [1.22-1.52] |
| Intensive care unit | 283 (5.2%) missing data n=129 | 103 (3.4%) | 180 (7.5%) | p<0.001 | 0.43 [0.33-0.56] |
| Death in ED | 36 (0.7%) missing data n=129 | 13 (0.4%) | 23 (1.0%) | 0.016 | 0.44 [0.21-0.92] |
| In hospital outcome (N, %) | | | | | |
| Mortality | 254 (5.0%) missing data n=535 | 125 (4.1%) | 129 (6.5%) | p<0.05 | 0.62 [0.48-0.80] |

NIV: non-invasive ventilation, IV: intravenous.